Interview Summary

Application No. 10/087,882

A. R. SALMI

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1648

Salahuddin et al

(3) Attorney Bastein (2) Attorney Fang (3) Attorney Fang (4) Date of Interview	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b ☐ Video Conference c ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2 ☐ applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: ALL Identification of prior art discussed: NONE Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' representatives discussed the possibility of amending the claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider any and all changes officially. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if a vailable, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	(1) A. R. SALMI	(3) Attorney Bastein
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: ALL Identification of prior art discussed: NONE Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' representatives discussed the possibility of amending the claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider any and all changes officially. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). I) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	(2) Attorney Fang	(4)
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2] applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: ALL Identification of prior art discussed: NONE Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' representatives discussed the possibility of amending the claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider any and all changes officially. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) Xi It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	Date of Interview May 15, 2003	_
Claim(s) discussed: ALL Identification of prior art discussed: NONE Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' representatives discussed the possibility of amending the claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider any and all changes officially. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. Gene MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRONTERIVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	c) Personal [copy is given to 1] applicant	
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' representatives discussed the possibility of amending the claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider any and all changes officially. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) I is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' representatives discussed the possibility of amending the claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider any and all changes officially. [A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	Claim(s) discussed: ALL	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' representatives discussed the possibility of amending the claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider any and all changes officially. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	any other comments: The limitations of "stringent" were discussed. Applicants vertex representatives discussed the possibility of amending the contract that would detect the virus or utilizing the deposited virus changes to be made in writing for the Office to consider and the contract that would be made in writing for the Office to consider and the contract that would be made in writing for the Office to consider and the contract that would be made in writing for the Office to consider and the contract that would be made in writing for the Office to consider and the contract that were discussed. Applicants were discussed that would be considered with the contract that would be detected the virus of the office with the contract that were discussed to the properties of the contract that were discussed to the contract that were discussed	would consider canceling the said limitation. Applicants' claims to the specific HHV-6 deposited virus and the antibodies in detecting the serum antibodies. Examiner asked for the my and all changes officially.
	(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is trate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). IAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
		·

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required